REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, and 8 are pending in the application.

Office Action Summary

In the Office Action Summary, the Examiner indicates that the Action is "Responsive to

communication(s) filed on 22 June 2006. Applicants believe that the Examiner has intended to

indicate that the Action is responsive to the communication (Amendment in Response to Non-

Final Office Action) dated January 5, 2009, June 22, 2006 is the filing date of the present

application.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara (JP

2004-162936) in view of Ohata (USP 4.426.923). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Although the Examiner has indicated that only claim 1 has been rejected under this

Section, Applicants assume that the Examiner has intended to reject claim 1, 3, and 8 from the

context of Examiner's comments in the Office Action.

Claim 1 has been amended to claim:

a side jet hole provided in a lower part of each side wall of the heating chamber,

the side jet hole jetting out steam in a lateral direction of the heating chamber;

the side jet hole is located below the supporting means, such that the steam from

the side jet hole flows inside a space defined immediately below the supporting means in

a lateral direction.

4

MRC/MH/srm:lps

Application No. 10/583,974 Amendment dated July 7, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2009

This feature is shown, for example, in Fig. 5 of the present application, in which a side jet

hole 46 is provided in a lower part of each side wall of the heating chamber, the side iet hole 46

ejecting out steam in a lateral direction of the heating chamber, and the side jet hole 46 is located

below the supporting member 22, such that the steam from the side jet hole 46 flows inside a

space defined immediately below the supporting means 22 in a lateral direction.

In the Office Action, the Examiner acknowledges that Hara does not teach that there is a

lower jet hole on each side wall of the heating chamber. Therefore, the Examiner relies on the

Ohata reference and alleges that it discloses a jet holes in Fig. 2. More specifically, in page 5 of

the Office Action, the Examiner indicates that a passages below a ventilating net 8 correspond to

the "side iet holes" of the present application.

Applicants submit, however, that even assuming that the Examiner's interpretation of the

Ohata reference is reasonable, which Applicants do not admit, steam passing through the lower

iet holes is jetted toward the bottom of the rack 13 (corresponds to the "supporting means" of the

present invention) in a vertical direction (i.e., in an upward direction) through a plurality of holes

in the ventilating net 8, and thus the steam from the lower jet holes does not flow "inside a space

defined immediately below the supporting means in a lateral direction," as recited in claim 1.

Therefore, even assuming that Hara and Ohata can be combined, which Applicants do not

admit, one skilled in the art would, at best, modify Hara by providing a space below a turntable

60, such that steam would flow in a vertical (i.e., upward) direction toward the bottom of the

turntable 60 as shown in Fig. 2 of Ohata, and would not conceive providing the lower jet holes

such that the stem "flows inside a space defined immediately below the supporting means in a

5

MRC/MH/srm:lps

Docket No.: 2936-0278PUS1

Application No. 10/583,974 Amendment dated July 7, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2009

lateral direction," as recited in claim 1. Accordingly, Hara and Ohata, taken singly or in

combination fail to disclose or suggest the "side jet hole," as recited in claim 1.

Further, the "fan" limitation has been amended so that it no longer claims intended use.

Claims 3 and 8, dependent on claim 1, are allowable at least for their dependency on

claim 1.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Conclusion

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the

rejections and allowance of the pending claims in the present application are respectfully requested.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to enter this Amendment After Final in that it raises

no new issues. Alternatively, the Examiner is respectfully requested to enter this Amendment After

Final in that it places the application in better form for Appeal.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Maki Hatsumi, Reg. No. 40,417, at

the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite

prosecution in connection with the present application.

6

Application No. 10/583,974 Amendment dated July 7, 2009 Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2009

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: July 7, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Michael R. Cammarata

Registration No.: 39,491 BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP 8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747 (703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant